Million $ Match! Donate Now to Maximize Your Impact!











Get FREE News & Updates!

First Name..
Last Name..
Email..
SIGN UP

Get Text Alerts
Sign up for breaking news from The Defender
123-456-7890
REQUIRED. Phone number must be in a standard 10-digit format: 1234567890 or 123-456-7890

02/13/24 • COVID • BIG PHARMA › NEWS

# NIH in Early 2022 Abruptly Stopped Responding to People Injured by COVID Shots

*Documents obtained by Children's Health Defense via a Freedom of Information Act lawsuit reveal officials at the National Institutes of Health corresponded with people injured by the COVID-19 vaccines throughout 2021, even launching a study only to pull the plug on it before finishing and reporting on it.*

**By Michael Nevradakis, Ph.D.**

32

***Miss a day, miss a lot.** Subscribe to The Defender's Top News of the Day. **It's free.***

The National Institutes of Health (NIH) corresponded with people injured by the COVID-19 vaccines throughout 2021 and initially acknowledged their injuries — before abruptly ceasing communication with them in early 2022, according to documents obtained by Children's Health Defense (CHD).

The documents, released Dec. 21, 2023, and Jan. 21, 2024, relate to correspondence between NIH researchers and people who contacted the agency regarding adverse events related to the COVID-19 vaccine.

CHD requested the documents via a Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) request filed in November 2022, and sued the NIH on April 12, 2023, to obtain them after the NIH failed to respond to the FOIA request.

The NIH filed a motion to dismiss the lawsuit, but in July 2023, withdrew its motion and agreed to release the documents — but only after NIH officials on two occasions claimed the agency had no knowledge of "adverse vaccination reaction reports."

Those claims are contradicted by documents included in the initial releases, which show that NIH received vaccine injury reports and, in some instances, offered medical advice or even recommended specialists to those individuals.

In October 2023, the NIH agreed to produce up to 7,500 pages of documents at a rate of 300 pages per month. The agency said it could not make the documents available at a faster rate.

The first two sets of documents are heavily redacted. However, they reveal that the NIH Institutional Review Board had approval to formally launch a study on COVID-19 vaccine injuries, but the agency slow-walked the investigation throughout 2021.

By early 2022, the NIH ceased communicating with the vaccine-injured individuals it had been in contact with.

Reacting to the documents, Kim Mack Rosenberg, CHD's general counsel, told The Defender, "We are carefully analyzing the documents to learn more about NIH's study."

Rosenberg said CHD "has been working hard to push for government transparency, which is the underpinning of our FOIA requests and litigation."

She added:

> "Even when receiving documents, slow response times and heavy redactions remain an issue. We understand that protected health information relating to individuals should not be shared and are subject to redaction, but other redactions may be subject to challenge."

Brianne Dressen, who was injured by the AstraZeneca COVID-19 vaccine and later founded React19, a nonprofit that advocates for vaccine-injured individuals, contacted the NIH in 2021 about her injuries and the NIH study.

Dressen told The Defender, "The slow release of documents is what we are also seeing with other FOIA releases. They were far quicker at the start of the pandemic, but as things are now unraveling, these releases are taking longer and longer for the agencies to process," she said.

Dressen, who sued the Biden administration over her vaccine injuries, said the new documents disprove NIH claims that it was unaware of a connection between the COVID-19 vaccines and vaccine injuries.

"The NIH's comments about this study in mainstream media have all danced around the 'causal link.' They won't publicly say there is a connection, but these FOIA documents say something very different," she said.



"The Wuhan Cover-Up" by Robert F. Kennedy Jr.
ORDER NOW

### Communications with vaccine-injured 'faded'

According to CHD's lawsuit, NIH researchers in early 2021, "began to hear from individuals who were experiencing severe, lasting health problems after COVID-19 injection, including neurological, cardiovascular, muscular, and other disorders."

"The NIH researchers sought to learn more, even bringing some affected people to NIH headquarters for testing, and sometimes treatment," the lawsuit stated.

Those efforts resulted in at least one publicly available paper, "Neuropathic symptoms with SARS-CoV-2 vaccination," a preprint published May 2022 in medRxiv.

The paper examined 23 patients who reported new neuropathic symptoms within a month of COVID-19 vaccination. The authors found a "variety of neuropathic symptoms may manifest after SARS-CoV-2 vaccinations and in some patients might be an immune-mediated process."

The study helped lead CHD to file its FOIA request, on Nov. 10, 2022. But while the NIH acknowledged receipt of the request on Nov. 18, 2022, it denied expedited review citing "a lack of compelling need."

The NIH denied awareness of any vaccine injury reports in its possession and told CHD that "adverse vaccination reaction reports are filed with the FDA [U.S. Food and Drug Administration], not the NIH," referring the request to the FDA FOIA office.

A Jan. 20, 2022, article in Science contradicted those denials. The article referenced "people who had experienced serious, long-lasting health problems after a COVID-19 vaccine, regardless of the manufacturer."

By January 2021, according to Science, NIH "began to hear about such reports and sought to learn more." But by late 2021, "NIH's communications with patients faded."

RFK Jr. and Brian Hooker's *New* Book: "Vax-Unvax"
ORDER NOW

### NIH promised to survey injuries but never followed through

The documents obtained by CHD confirmed this timeline — and the NIH's knowledge of COVID-19 vaccine injury reports, despite extensive redactions marked with code "b6," pertaining to "personal privacy interests," including medical information.

Sheryl Ruettgers, a professional counselor associate from Oregon, was injured after COVID-19 vaccination and contacted the NIH in 2021. She told The Defender the documents released so far "are so heavily redacted, they are incomprehensible," adding, "I do not believe this is by accident."

"It speaks to their efforts to cover up their knowledge of the severity of COVID vaccine injuries and their early and firsthand knowledge that so many were suffering from neurological reactions," Ruettgers said.

Dr. Danice Hertz, a retired gastroenterologist who contacted the NIH in 2021 about her injury, said, "There's a lot of duplication" in the documents released so far and "many blank pages."

Still, the documents provide insights into the NIH's communications with vaccine-injured individuals in 2021. Examples of emails to the NIH include:

- April 2, 2021 (page 103, December 2023 documents): email to Farinaz Safavi, M.D., Ph.D., of the NIH Division of Neuroimmunology and Neurovirology and Amanda Wiebold of the NIH/National Institute of Neurological Disorders and Stroke (NINDS): "I was given your email and asked to send you a message regarding the reaction I have had to the Moderna covid 19 vaccine."
- March 14, 2021 (pages 145-146, December 2023 documents): listed "severe symptoms following the 1st dose of the Pfizer vaccine," including dysesthesia, cold and burning sensations, and numbness.
- Sept. 22, 2021 (pages 56-57, December 2023 documents): email to Dr. Avindra Nath, clinical director at NIH/NINDS, referred to a "horrific 'vax fog' that is not going away," with the patient adding, "I would have been better off not getting the vaccine."
- Oct. 12, 2021 (pages 63-64, January 2024 documents): email referred to a "pretty bad flare up" involving a "painful" skin rash, fever, weakness, night sweats, worsening joint and muscle pain and random bruises. The patient said these symptoms were "extremely disruptive," adding, "I am very desperate."

The documents also reveal the NIH acknowledged communications from vaccine-injured people who reached out:

- March 15, 2021 (page 145, December 2023 documents): email from Safavi stated, "We started an effort to evaluate post covid vaccine reactions."
- March 2, 2021 (page 237, January 2024 documents): email from Nath told a vaccine-injured person, "We are following several patients with neurological symptoms from the COVID vaccines," adding, "Our hope to try and identify if there is some kind of molecular mimicry between vaccine and the antigens in the nervous system."
- A March 6, 2021 (pages 105-106, December 2023 documents): email from Safavi to a vaccine-injured individual with the subject line "Re: Covid vaccine reaction" asked the patient to send "a summary of your disease course, work ups and treatments," as well as medical images, "to be reviewed by our team."
- March 5, 2021 (page 107, December 2023 documents): email from Safavi to a vaccine-injured patient stated, "We can certainly meet through televisit and go over your post vaccine issues."
- March 18, 2021 (page 166 of the December 2023 documents): email from Safavi said "we are trying to characterize COVID vaccine side effects and potentially propose the pathogenesis."
- Feb. 8, 2021 (page 113, January 2024 documents): email from Wiebold to a patient requested the results of the patient's spinal tap and said that NIH's account, not the patient's insurance, would be billed.
- May 5, 2021 (page 15, January 2024 documents): email from Wiebold instructed a patient how to send NIH a blood sample.

The documents also show (page 190, January 2024 documents) that Nath scheduled a July 30, 2021, Zoom meeting with a vaccine-injured individual, "to discuss COVID vaccine allergic reaction" and that Safavi, in a March 29, 2021, email (page 239, January 2024 documents) told a patient a Microsoft Teams link for a televisit would be sent.

NIH staff also referred to an online survey being developed. A Sept. 23, 2021, email (page 41, December 2023 documents) from Angelique Gavin, NIH/NINDS clinical operations manager, states, "We are currently developing an online survey system to collect stories about people's complications after receiving COVID vaccines."

This online survey was "never developed," Ruettgers said.

On some occasions, NIH personnel also dispensed advice to people who reached out about vaccine injuries, including recommendations of specialists they could contact.

For example, in an Oct. 6, 2021, email to a patient (page 171, December 2023 documents), Nath wrote, "The best person to address this issue [studies of brain injuries] would be Dr. Gary Gibbons … Director of NHLBI [National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute]," noting that "this institute received most of the funds for COVID research."

And April 2021 emails (pages 194 and 195, January 2024 documents) from Nath provide therapy advice to patients, including suggesting to a patient that they be investigated for POTS (postural orthostatic tachycardia syndrome).

At least two emails in the December 2023 documents reference a waiting list established by NIH for new patients to enroll in its study.

"Nowhere in any of these emails does the NIH say this is 'alleged' injury, or that this isn't from the vaccine, nor do they explore any other possible cause other than the specific cases where COVID itself is reported," Dressen said.

Dressen added:

> "This isn't some random disease they can't possibly find the cause [of] or any answers. They were bringing people in, helping them in-house and remotely, talking through their care with their providers, using that information to build their understanding of the illness, and were providing treatment recommendations."

Yet according to Dressen, while the NIH was aware of this, the general public was told, "the vaccines couldn't possibly cause these injuries."

"The general public still has no idea that any of this happened," Dressen said. "Had the NIH done the right thing and talked with the medical community about what they were researching in depth, where would all of these injured Americans be today?"

Your support helps fund this work, and CHD's related advocacy, education and scientific research.
DONATE NOW

### 'Who pulled the plug?'

A 2020 patient consent form listing Nath as the principal investigator for the NIH's study (page 129 of the December 2023 documents) references a "Natural History Study of Inflammatory and Infectious Diseases of the Nervous System" and shows that the NIH's Institutional Review Board approved the study on April 9, 2020.

Yet, a Nov. 4, 2021, email (page 95, December 2023 documents) from Gavin states, "The study has not yet been approved by our review board."

In an August 13, 2021, email (page 192, December 2023 documents) a vaccine-injured individual asked Gavin, "Is it ok for me to share this publicly? 'Dr Nath's team is planning on studying people who have had extended neurological or other symptoms after getting a COVID vaccine'" after Gavin stated on Aug. 10 that "the study has not yet been approved."

People who were injured by the vaccines and in contact with the NIH expressed frustration with the protracted study approval and launch process.

For instance, in a Jan. 11, 2022, email (page 50, December 2023 documents), a vaccine-injured individual asked, "Why nothing is being done to help all of us who were injured by the Pfizer" and Moderna COVID-19 shots? Another, from the same date (page 52), asks for "the contact email of whoever is responsible for not providing care for us and not researching what is happening to us."

"When these emails are put into a timeline, you can see the research team being honest and straightforward for the first half of 2021, then everything changes," Dressen said. "They start getting more vague in their responses but still work with people, just not as straightforward and openly as they did before."

By sometime in January 2022, the study appears to have stopped. "Who pulled the plug?" Dressen asked. "Was it [Dr. Anthony] Fauci?"

### NIH 'reported people healed when, in fact, we were not'

"I believe that Nath and Safavi may have had good intentions to help find answers, but that seems to quickly have been squashed and, I believe, they were silenced by Fauci and [former NIH director] Francis Collins and perhaps the FDA," Ruettgers said. "Contact with the NIH came to a screeching halt."

Ruettgers said she received the Moderna COVID-19 vaccine on Jan. 14, 2021, and soon experienced adverse reactions. By June 2021, she said her symptoms were so severe that she "was barely able to function." Ruettgers was diagnosed with immune-mediated small fiber neuropathy, dysautonomia and reactivated Epstein-Barr virus.

"I had to take anti-seizure medication to sleep, and I was terrified I was going to die. I was healthy prior to my vaccine," she said, adding that she still experiences symptoms such as neuropathy, hypoesthesia, paresthesia, slow gut motility, blurred vision, inner ear burning, extreme fatigue, fleeting dizziness and foot cramps.

Ruettgers said she unsuccessfully tried to contact FDA and Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) officials and submitted several reports to the Vaccine Adverse Event Reporting System (VAERS) and the CDC's V-safe app, but except for one telephone call, the CDC did not follow up with her.

Ruettgers said she later reached out to the NIH in March 2021, speaking with Safavi, with whom she "agreed to participate" in the agency's study.

"I sent Safavi all my tests, brain and thoracic images and lab results (skin biopsy results) indicating positive for small fiber neuropathy. Initially, Safavi asked if I was willing to fly out to the NIH and I said yes. When I revisited the topic, she told me they were no longer bringing people to the NIH," Ruettgers said.

"As a consolation, she offered to discuss treatment with my neurologist, which she did in July 2021," Ruettgers added. "Once she had all my records, she never communicated with me again. We all know now that the study was bogus and they lied about the results … The NIH essentially reported people healed when, in fact, we were not."

Hertz described a similar experience. She suffered serious adverse events after she received her first (and only) dose of the Pfizer-BioNTech COVID-19 vaccine on Dec. 23, 2020. She was diagnosed with mast cell activation syndrome (or mast cell disorder), a condition in which a patient experiences repeated episodes of anaphylaxis symptoms.

"I'm still suffering from all the same symptoms, but they're just milder," Hertz said.

Hertz said she filed several VAERS reports and reached out to the FDA, CDC and Pfizer "multiple times." Yet, "there was no response, no interest in our fight, no help."

When Hertz learned about the NIH study, she contacted Nath, who initially was "very sympathetic" and said NIH was "hearing from many other people with similar injuries."

But Hertz said that by July 2021, Nath's "tone changed," becoming "almost antagonistic," before she was "cut off" entirely. Hertz said she learned "from other similarly injured people that the same thing had happened to them."

### NIH 'hung us all out to dry'

Dressen said she hopes "some internal communications come through" in future document releases "that [will] help us understand what went on behind the scenes when their strategy changed, why they didn't report these injuries, why they didn't expand the study to include the sheer number of people reaching out to them."

Ruettgers said she wouldn't "be surprised to discover that the knowledge and cover-up of neurological reactions went much higher up the government food chain."

She added:

> "The slow pace at which the documents are being released leads to suspicion that they are processing the documents with an extremely keen method of redaction to protect themselves from, at the least, accusations of medical malpractice, and at the worst, medical fraud and corruption, leading to a Congressional investigation."

Dressen said that "when the NIH says something different privately than they do publicly or officially, they are not being honest or transparent." She added:

> "The NIH gathered the information, then hung us all out to dry … This goes beyond a 'simple misunderstanding.' Something went very wrong at the NIH, and it was at the expense of the American people."

Hertz said she would like federal agencies to acknowledge people's injuries. "It's just really time for them to stop ignoring what has happened."

Subscribe to The Defender – It's Free!
First Name..
Last Name..
Email..
SIGN UP

SUGGEST A CORRECTION



**Michael Nevradakis, Ph.D.**
Michael Nevradakis, Ph.D., based in Athens, Greece, is a senior reporter for The Defender and part of the rotation of hosts for CHD.TV's "Good Morning CHD."

**Sign up for free news and updates from Children's Health Defense. CHD focuses on legal strategies to defend the health of our children and obtain justice for those injured. We can't do it without your support.**

Republishing Guidelines

**Children's Health Defense Comment Policy**
We welcome relevant and respectful comments. Off-topic comments may be removed.
Please read our Comment Policy before commenting.
Got it

32 Comments 1 Login ▾

Join the discussion…

LOG IN WITH OR SIGN UP WITH DISQUS ?

Name

♡ 14 • Share Best Newest Oldest

**EileenK**
2 months ago

The NIH doesn't care about injuries or deaths due to the Covid shots. It is that simple. This organization cannot be trusted. It is not looking out for the welfare of people but is pushing an agenda to vaccinate as many people as possible without considering the consequences. It is a corrupt organization run by power-hungry, godless people.

In my mind, the NIH has the same amount of credibility as the CDC, FDA, AMA, Biden Administration and any other group that continues to promote these dangerous drugs. In other words, ZERO credibility.

36 0 Reply

**holisticpoet** → EileenK
2 months ago

The way our government agencies are acting is repugnant. The general disdain they have for the common men and women is atrocious. We need to set a new paradigm for mankind that begins with care and compassion being given to our fellow man, the same care that we would want for ourselves and our own children. Only that way can the New Earth be brought into the light of day.

18 0 Reply

**Peter van der Stam** → holisticpoet
2 months ago

Isn't the big problem: big pHARMA ??
Thry have plenty of money to throw around to BRIBE those institutions.
All of them are bought by pharma to shut up.

10 0 Reply

**brbg** → Peter van der Stam
2 months ago

Big Pharma AND Bill Gates.

7 0 Reply

**irishtap** → EileenK
2 months ago

Don't forget Trump - he caved first.

1 2 Reply

**r2bzjudge**
2 months ago

"…even launching a study only to pull the plug on it before finishing and reporting on it."

How many Covax cover-ups does that make now?

22 0 Reply

**CastleConnell**
2 months ago

If NIH took the injuries seriously, they would face an enormous backlash, they are covering themselves.

21 0 Reply

**jupiteralliance**
2 months ago

It stop responding because it's probably afraid of class action lawsuits.

21 0 Reply

**dejr**
2 months ago

Let's not forget that all throughout 2021 while NIH was collecting these reports from people who were jab injured, their talking heads starting with Fauci and Collins and their media puppets were telling us the jabs were 'safe and effective' all day every day.

20 0 Reply

**Kim from Brooklyn** → dejr
2 months ago

The white clots they pull from these poor jabbed folk speaks very loud. They seem to turn protein to harden causing blockages all over the body. When will they talk about this?

6 0 Reply

**GarySummers**
2 months ago

*NIH Collins & Fauci their 'Bank Accounts' & $$$$ were to Important!*

*Fauci 'spearheaded the research' on Remdesivir!*
Since 2002 'Anthony Fauci' has been 'collaborating with USAMRIID in Fort Detrick' where 'GILEAD developed Remdesivir!'

"Remdesivir: " "George Soros & Bill Gates Partner with China on Coronavirus Drug!"

One billionaire investor behind UNITAID is George Soros himself . But why stop there? 'UNITAID' is also financed by the "Bill and Melinda Gates Foundation" and also involves a partnership with the "Clinton Health Access Initiative". And interestingly enough both "UNITAID and Gilead Biosciences supported Hillary Clinton for president in 2016"! Obama Foundation, Fauci, NIH Collins also received Royalty Payments.
https://www.thegatewaypundi...

"Who exactly is Gilead Biosciences? Gilead is partnered with Wuxi Pharmaceuticals (Wuxi AppTec) owned by New World Order philanthropist and mass manipulator himself, George Soros"
https://www.civilianintelli...

"Class-Action Lawsuit Filed Against Remdesivir Manufacturer Over Alleged Deceptive Practices"
https://www.zerohedge.com/m...

"Rand Paul Fires Off on What He Really Thinks "Fauci Deserves": 'Frankly, He Should Go to Prison'"
https://www.thegatewaypundi...

20 0 Reply

**Ricky Ricardo**
2 months ago

We need to stop dancing around the truth here and call the mRNA shots what they are: BIOWEAPONS!

18 0 Reply

**BarbaraCharis**
2 months ago

Live and Learn. We have learned over the course of the last number of years, how much these government agencies care about the people. Whose pulling their strings? Big Pharma and other commercial interests.

17 0 Reply

**GRgal**
2 months ago

Canada did exactly the same thing. Promise after promise, broken with a sneer.

15 0 Reply

**C.guest**
2 months ago

NIH is clearly exercising its "right to remain silent" because anything they say "can and will be used against them in a court of law." They have blood on their paws and they know it.

15 0 Reply

**Frederick Lee**
2 months ago

I am impressed by NIH and CDC ability to hide behind patient confidentiality. News agencies should go to the victims for non-redacted documents.
Victims should be allowed to waive their HIPPA rights when reporting their injuries and symptoms.
Researchers should be researching in depth many of these injuries in real time. I think, collectively, the US spent about $1 trillion to comprehend covid and to remediate financial impacts. I would think that a few billion could have been spent on in depth research.
But most certainly, for the greater good, the victims would readily exchange privacy rights for relief from symptoms and illness.
As the King of Thailand learned, only after those closest to the decision makers are

dramatically affected, does scientifically based reasoning begin to overrule the hysteria that politicians and news outlets all too often leverage to force a safe and effective injection on the skeptical recipients.

12 0 Reply

**Michael Lingard BSc. DO. WPNut**
2 months ago

Surely with at least a million people having had some adverse side effects a class action lawsuit could be launched? If the number of cases is much less than that they might rightly claim it is an acceptable level!

10 0 Reply

**Kim from Brooklyn** → Michael Lingard BSc. DO. WPNut
2 months ago

They got away with injecting billions with poison.

7 0 Reply

**William Brennan**
2 months ago

dear people of this Eath and Universe. There has always been a Deep-State. It is a diseased relm in which some have plaged not only mankind, but also the very rocks we stand on for as long as a physical world exists. I have writen book about them and thier money and power driven existance on the side of Satan. They call themselve by all religions and are none other than self obsobed children of Satan. They have lost most of the Universe and I think that within years or even days they will have to leave all their stolhen welth gained from the unknowing ENSLAVED for a solitary confindment Eatherical Camp, dezignated by the One God and True Mind, that we all share true knowledge from. My Mother Religion call this Mind Saint Anthony and I would alwayes be able to find what I was looking for, but God's Mind is in and around all things larg and small. It is best expressed in the Eye of a Child, until the age of Seven.
Keep Heart and your sanity,as there is as promissed by God, everyones Creator, an end to this insanity broght on by the Deep-State, or Shadow Government. It now wants amnesty in exchange for not blowing up the Planet Earth. This will not be nessisary, as a Jesus and Christ (Savior) of his time and all times came into the Univere and completed what he had been sent to do; fight for all Creation, even the misguided Deep-State.
Do I have first hand knowledge of this Man, we call Jesus Ben Huh and Christ, the first created Son of God you are asking? Yes, I have had many lives and personas, one was to know him and most important, to know God. How can one know the son without knowing the Father of us all. To know the Mind is exist and in us is to know God. Everyone remember this first Rule and no man or thing in this Univers and Heaven can rule over you!!! Worship with Jesus and me THAT ONE INFINIT GOD.......Halalujha

10 0 Reply

**Patpat**
2 months ago

"Who pulled the plug? Was it Fauci?" Yes, yes it was, along with Collins, Gates, Tedros, Bourla and the rest of that gang!

9 0 Reply

**Isthisthingon** → Patpat
2 months ago

Yes, at the behest of the Department of Defense and the Criminal Elite who literally own everything-and their members span the globe-and are using us all as Cash Cows and the Earth as a Toxic Waste Dump-but it appears they've gone a bit too far with their Pyramid Ponzi Scheme...and now they no longer need all the excessive useless eaters and breeders as they now have a New Plan as they can't prop up this socioeconomic platform of MORE and continue to manipulate the Financial System as too many know it simply is all made up to be whatever they need it to be...it has just run its course and the Con is too in all our faces... and thus the Perps need/needed to kill off, I mean implement the Compassionate Extermination Plan in order to turn this Carnival Cruise Ship of Greed, Illusion, and Waste around....

This is a PSYOP! The CALL IS COMING FROM INSIDE THE HOUSE! No one is coming to save us...all efforts on the Government Level are Smoke n Mirrors...sure, there are a few actual good and brave individuals trying to do what they can to bring to light the Con, but the Machine Never Sleeps!

Resist, Say the Thing Needing Said-from the Heart, and Do All You Can to Get Off Of the Hamster Wheel!!

see more

2 0 Reply

**John Hanek**
2 months ago

The mRNA jab destroys the immune system, causing HIV, which is always fatal and takes years to kill.

8 0 Reply

**jwm79**
2 months ago

Thank you CHD for getting these documents even with a slow release (please fight that too if you can!!). Even what's come out already says a lot. They at the NIH knew and they kept quiet and put the kibosh on this study, and that alone is criminal conspiracy.

6 0 Reply

**Kim from Brooklyn**
2 months ago

Car accidents, heart attacks.

Died suddenly.

Seems a little coincidental, yes?

6 0 Reply

**Isthisthingon**
2 months ago

I check in to my Bing feed here and there to see all the ways the masses are being propagandized/led, and this New Discovery of RNA was found deep in our biology.

To me it simply shows how much BS we are fed in regard to "Trusting" our so-called protective agencies along with all the "smart people" who pretend to know anything about our biology! Seriously, "science" just discovered "two new glands" in the face by accident as they were putting the glow-n-the-dark fluid into people to better understand some process , then there is the declaration of the 95% of our DNA that the government paid scientists declared as JUNK-because they don't know what it's for, as well as the reality that our Medical Industrial Complex for Profit simply "don't know" what the Appendix is actually for in Our Bodies! (There are other not-government-paid smart people who declare the Appendix is a "reservoir of good bacteria/viruses" which replenish our Gut Microbiomes after a bout of sickness as it is directly connected to our colons! Anyway, these New Discoveries are either covering up the harm of the Convid Stabs, or is simply another reality check for the masses on How Much The Perps Simply Don't Know, or "New" information they intend to use to further their Transhumanist Agenda, etc.:

https://www.msn.com/en-us/n...

1 0 Reply

**Steven Berge**
2 months ago

All the government agencies must order redaction ink by the barrel. FOIA is just like voting in national elections, it's just a show to make the people feel like they are actually represented.

1 0 Reply

**Richard Wahd**
2 months ago

"First I was afraid, I was petrified lalalala

1 0 Reply

**orangputeh**
2 months ago edited

My favorite place. [Barf!]
I was in the NINDS section of NIH for four and a half years. I was kicked out of the Neurofibromatosis Type 2 Natural History Study in November 2012 after being diagnosed by an NIH psychiatrist as being severely mentally ill. The head researcher in this study helped the psychiatrist write up the bogus report. They concluded that without psychiatric help that I might injure myself or others sometime in the future. I shrank a 3 cm meningioma brain tumor by 2 mm using alternative medicine healing. This shrinkage showed up on an NIH MRI. NF2 tumors **NEVER** shrink. They can lay dormant for years and then start growing again.
**NIH is crooked.**

0 0 Reply

**p. gutierrez**
2 months ago edited

Either the CHD website COMMENTS security feature has been recently changed, or Firefox privacy/security requirements to access CHD COMMENTS section has changed. Now for the first time ever, one must specify STANDARD security in FIREFOX to even see the CHD COMMENTS section. Used to use STRICT privacy to view COMMENTS.

Pfizer and Moderna are covered by the PREP Act regarding immunity from lawsuits by people harmed by their vaccines. The NIAID and the NIH realized that limited liability, and therefore limited complaints regarding harm done by the vaccines because the mRNAs were implemented under 'emergency use', and in good faith.

see more

0 0 Reply

**p. gutierrez** → p. gutierrez
2 months ago edited

Below are four screenshots from Pfizer patent for Covid-19 mRNA vaccine and their targeting the spleen with their vaccines. To download the 879 page patent,

https://patentscope.wipo.in...
select the pdf that has 879 pages for download

How Pfizer targets the spleen in a human body with lipids containing Covid-19 mRNA spike genes.

see more

0 0 Reply

**p. gutierrez** → p. gutierrez
2 months ago edited

A few items in addition to the above comments.

"B cells express about 10 to the 5th power B cell antigen receptors (BCRs) on their surface. Each BCR is composed of a membrane-bound immuno-globulin (Ig) that mediates antigen binding and two associated signaling molecules, Igα and Igβ, that connect the BCR to intracellular signaling pathways and thereby mediate cellular responses. Igs are Y-shaped molecules composed of two identical membrane anchored heavy chains (IgH) and two identical Ig kappa (Igκ) or Ig lambda (Igλ) light (IgL) chains encoded by different genes.", in the article, 'Assessing human B cell repertoire diversity and convergence' by Katharina Imkeller and Hedda Wardemann

The article, 'Antibodies to SARS-CoV-2 in All of Us Research Program Participants', January 2-March 18, 2020, by Keri Althoff, David Schlueter, and many others, researched Covid-19 antibodies in blood stream samples prior to vaccination, to help determine time of pandemic origin.

Both articles well worth reading. In the article, 'The Human Leukocyte

see more

0 0 Reply

**Brian Collecott**
2 months ago

A bit off topic but not much - A vaccine -injured Scot addresses Rishi Sunak, the UK PM.

0 0 Reply

Subscribe Privacy Do Not Sell My Data

## THE DEFENDER IS AVAILABLE IN:

ENGLISH SPANISH PORTUGUESE GERMAN ITALIAN FRENCH

**Children's Health Defense®** is a 501(c)(3) non-profit organization. Our mission is to end childhood health epidemics by working aggressively to eliminate harmful exposures, hold those responsible accountable and establish safeguards to prevent future harm. We fight corruption, mass surveillance and censorship that put profits before people as well as advocate for worldwide rights to health freedom and bodily autonomy.

## TAKE ACTION

DONATE FREE SIGN-UP BECOME A MEMBER

*Donations are tax deductible to the full extent of the law. EIN #26-0388604*

© 2016 - 2024 Children's Health Defense® • All Rights Reserved • Sitemap